Insertando imagen...

ACME-SF

G1.007

**Analysis report**

08/03/2024



# Cover

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Repository:** <https://github.com/DP2-C1-07/Acme-SF-D01> | |
| **Student #1**  **ID:** 31878881F  **UVUS:**  pabcabmar3  **Name:** Caballero María, Pablo **Roles:** manager, developer | **Student #2**  **ID Number:**49034820Q  **UVUS:** mararnmon  **Name:** Arnáiz Montero, Marco Antonio  **Roles:** developer, operator |
| **Student #3**  **ID Number:** 77865211E  **UVUS:**  alfalolan  **Name:** Alonso Lanzarán, Alfonso Luis  **Roles:** developer, tester | **Student #4**  **ID Number:** 53932912M  **UVUS:** albsanmim  **Name:** Sánchez Mimbrero, Alberto  **Roles:** developer |
| **Student #5**  **ID Number:** 48123111G  **UVUS:** juagarcar4  **Name:** Garcia Carballo, Juan  **Roles:** developer |  |

Table of contents

[Cover 1](#_Toc1812869520)

[Executive summary 2](#_Toc2137473466)

[Revision table 2](#_Toc561794051)

[Introduction 2](#_Toc368742189)

[Contents 3](#_Toc108401824)

[Conclusions 3](#_Toc853269074)

[Bibliography 3](#_Toc1196555261)

# Executive summary

This document is an Analysis report, in where the requirements for a certain deliverable are analyzed in case there is ambiguity or they are not clear. In that case, they will be discussed with the corresponding lecturer, through the EV platform. Otherwise, the “content” section will show the text “intentionally blank”.

# Revision table

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Number | Date(dd/mm/yyyy) | Description |
| 1.0 | 01/03/2024 | Document done in its entirety, reviewed by peers. No major errors were found. |

# Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide a detailed analysis report of the functional requirements of the second delivery of the project “Acme-SF-D01”, for the subject “Design and Testing 2”. More specifically, this document conforms to the supplementary requirements sections of the student #1.

# Contents

During the development of the mandatory requirement D02-G01 (create “Claim” entity), a question about whether the entity should have a property to relate it with another one arose. Therefore, I posted a new thread in the “On your tutorials” forum, wich was satisfactorily answered: the entity should NOT be related to another one, since the traceability of the creator of the claim was implied in the “email” field, and all the unauthenticated users shared the same account, and no further actions were required.

# Conclusions

The development of the requirement followed its course with no further inquiries.
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